



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/722,045	10/04/1996	VIRGINIA FREEMAN	P26,487-A USA 3646		
7	590 03/01/2006	EXAMINER			
James V Costigan			EBRAHIM, NABILA G		
1185 avenue of the americas new york, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			1618		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Арр	lication No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		08/	722,045		FREEMAN ET AL.				
		Exa	miner		Art Unit				
		Nab	ila G. Ebrahim		1618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	t with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Management of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMU In no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) No the application to become	JNICATION by a reply be time MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 21 Novem	ber 2005.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,13,23 and 25-29</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,6,13,23 and 25-29</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper l 5) Notice	ew Summary (No(s)/Mail Dai of Informal Pa		O-152)			

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

The amendments of the claims received on 11/21/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 23, 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sparks et al. US 5354556.

Sparks teaches a controlled release formulation comprising microparticles wherein the particle size average diameter is 0.1 microns or greater (100 nanometer), (see abstract). The controlled release powder includes the same drugs nifedipine (col. 4, line 60), morphine (col. 5, line 3), and biodegradable polylactides (col. 4, line 4). The composition is provided as effervescent tablets (col. 7, line 52). Sparks comprised various drug groups in his invention. For example, he included diltiazem, nefidipine (claim 3), verapamil (col. 4, line 59), morphine, codeine sulfate, dihydrocodeine trartarate, oxycodone, buprenorphine (col. 5, lines 1-5), and captopril (col. 4, line 64).

Though Sparks discloses the microparticles, he did not disclose a microcapsule, however, the specification of the current application defines the term microcapsule as being used to include the terms "microsphere", "microparticles", nanosphere" and "nanoparticle", and adds that these terms do not necessarily refer to any structural

Application/Control Number: 08/722,045 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

relationship between the drug and the encapsulating polymer in a matrix (structure).

Rather, these terms simply refer to a particle (micron sized or less) in which the drug is entrapped in a polymer (Specification, page 3, lines 3-9).

In addition, the reference does not disclose the D50 percentage of the 100 and 900 nanometers or the adjusted pH. Using microparticles within the range of D 50 recited by the claims of the instant application with a reasonable expectation of success would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to advance the uniformity in dissolution and absorption rates since particles of the same or similar size and configuration are known to provide the best release and absorption profiles. In addition, Sparks stated that the particle size might be controlled in a number of ways. For example, the particles size may be controlled by the rate of mixing, the viscosity during manufacturing, the active ingredient particle size or volatility of the solvent (col. 7, lines 20-25). Since the composition is a controlled-release, it is expected that a skilled artisan would be able to adjust the pH of the formulation.

because sparks' teachings included particles in the same size (100 nanometer), the same drugs (diltiazem, nefidipine, verapamil, morphine, codeine sulphate, dihydrocodeine trartarate, oxycodone, buprenorphine, and captopril) and the same polymer (the plylactide), it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to expand these teaching of Sparks and use a D50% in the recited range to advance the homogeneity of the composition, its dissolution and absorption rates since particles of the same or similar size and configuration are known to provide the best dissolution and release-profile. In addition the artisan will be motivated by the disclosure of Sparks that particles

Application/Control Number: 08/722,045 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

size might be controlled in a number of ways like controlling the rate of mixing, the viscosity during manufacturing, the active ingredient particle size or volatility of the solvent (col. 7, lines 20-25).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nabila G. Ebrahim whose telephone number is 571-272-8151. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on 571-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nabila Ebrahim 2/21/06

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER